


We Keep the Dead Close: A Murder at Harvard and a Half Century of Silence

by Becky Cooper



About the Book

For readers gripped by *IN COLD BLOOD* and *I'LL BE GONE IN THE DARK*, *WE KEEP THE DEAD CLOSE* is both a haunting true-crime narrative of an unsolved 1969 murder at a prestigious institution and a lyrical memoir of obsession and love for a girl who dreamed of rising among men.

You have to remember, he reminded me, that Harvard is older than the U.S. government. You have to remember because Harvard doesn't let you forget.

1969: the height of counterculture and the year universities would seek to curb the unruly spectacle of student protest; the winter that Harvard University would begin the tumultuous process of merging with Radcliffe, its all-female sister school; and the year that Jane Britton, an ambitious 23-year-old graduate student in Harvard's Anthropology Department and daughter of Radcliffe Vice President J. Boyd Britton, would be found bludgeoned to death in her Cambridge, Massachusetts apartment.

Forty years later, Becky Cooper, a curious undergrad, will hear the first whispers of the story. In the first telling the body was nameless. The story was this: A Harvard student had had an affair with her professor, and the professor had murdered her in the Peabody Museum of Archaeology and Ethnology because she'd threatened to talk about the affair. Though the rumor proves false, the story that unfolds, one that Cooper will follow for 10 years, is even more complex: a tale of gender inequality in academia, a "cowboy culture" among empowered male elites, the silencing effect of institutions, and our compulsion to rewrite the stories of female victims.

WE KEEP THE DEAD CLOSE is a memoir of mirrors, misogyny and murder. It is at once a rumination on the violence and oppression that rules our revered institutions, a ghost story reflecting one young woman's past onto another's present, and a love story for a girl who was lost to history.

Discussion Guide

1. Not all murders become myths. Why do you think Jane's did?
2. This book is as much about investigating a crime as it is about who has the right to reconstruct the past and call it history. How does Becky navigate this question, and what parallels are there with the work of anthropologists and archaeologists?
3. How were the reverberations of the larger world felt at Harvard and Radcliffe in the '60s? In what ways have the institutions changed --- or not --- since Jane's time?
4. The most consistent thread in the book is Becky's obsession with Jane. In what ways do Becky's boundaries start to blur with Jane's? Is this a necessary part of writing a biography or a sign of getting too close to one's subject? Or is it something else entirely?
5. Silence is a recurring theme throughout the book --- from Jane's refusal to scream to the police blackout. As Professor Kimberly Theidon asks, how do you interpret silence?
6. How should the investigative work of authorities --- as well as the privacy of victims and their families --- be balanced against the public's right to know?
7. What level of responsibility do police departments have to devote resources to solving cold cases?
8. Elisabeth Handler has the sense that Jane "needed" her stories, and Karl is described repeatedly as a great storyteller. How do you think the two benefited from their storytelling? How did those benefits diverge?
9. We see many different ways that individuals and groups wield Jane's story. How does Jim Humphries resist this? What is gained by letting go, as he seems to have done, and what is lost?
10. As Iva Houston tells Becky, "It's hard to admit you belong to the world you're studying." What does Iva mean by that? Are there ways in which Becky and the other people in the book fall short? What blind spots might you have had as you interpreted this story?
11. In what ways does Jane's story act as an allegory, and how does that tale differ with Jane's agency or victimhood?
12. What is the value in disentangling fact from fiction in Jane's case? In what ways are both valuable?

13. How did your intuition about who murdered Jane change as you progressed through the book? Was the police's conclusion about the murderer the resolution you were expecting? If not, did it alter your experience of the rest of the book? How?

14. Becky asks herself if it is ever justifiable to trap someone in a story that robs them of their truth but voices someone else's. What do you think? In what way are Karl Lamberg-Karlovsy, Mike Gramly and Lee Parsons trapped inside stories? In what way are the storytellers themselves trapped? What is the cost of this entrapment?

15. In the true crime genre, there's always the risk of turning someone else's grief into entertainment. Where does that line fall? Does this book strike the right balance?

16. Jane, in her closing journal entry, hopes she'll be remembered for how she was, instead of how she was seen. Was Becky able to fulfill this wish for Jane? Is such a thing even possible?

Author Bio

Becky Cooper is a former *New Yorker* editorial staff member and Senior Fellow at Brandeis's Schuster Institute for Investigative Reporting. Her undergraduate thesis, a literary biography of David Foster Wallace, won Harvard's Hoopes Prize, the highest undergraduate award for research and writing. Research for this book was supported by the Fund for Investigative Journalism and the International Women's Media Foundation's Howard G. Buffett Fund for Women Journalists. She is also the author of *MAPPING MANHATTAN: A Love (and Sometimes Hate) Story in Maps* by 75 New Yorkers.

We Keep the Dead Close: A Murder at Harvard and a Half Century of Silence

by Becky Cooper

Publication Date: September 14, 2021

Genres: Memoir, Nonfiction, True Crime

Paperback: 528 pages

Publisher: Grand Central Publishing

ISBN-10: 1538746859

ISBN-13: 9781538746851